Use of Electronic Health Records to Facilitate Colorectal Cancer Screening in Community Health Centers

The attendees of the National Colorectal Cancer Roundtable Electronic Health Records (EHR) meeting believe that the following recommendations represent opportunities to positively impact effective use of EHRs in community health centers to improve colorectal cancer screening.

Section 1 -- Proposed recommendations for vendor improvement

1. Work with Electronic Health Record (EHR) vendors to correct the deficiencies listed below.
   Convene EHR vendors, key federal partners, Health Center Controlled Networks (HCCNs), Primary Care Associations and NACHC to discuss requested improvements in EHRs specific to CRC screening. By representing community health center (CHC) purchasers on a larger scale and coordinating requests for improvement, a collaborative effort focused on the need for improvement may have more influence than hearing from individual CHCs alone. Specifically:
   a) **Ensure ease of functionality for evidence-based interventions:** The overarching goal should be improving patient care, while reducing physician workload. Systems should easily allow for patient reminders and provider reminders, including for follow up and referrals. Balance against “alert fatigue” by making sure the reminders go to the right staff. Develop order set protocols for tailored by patient circumstance to implement evidence-based screening. System should generate provider and institutional level screening user friendly reports and generate appropriate screening intervals based on colonoscopy report results. Need to be mindful that the reports go to the right person who needs to act on them.
   b) **Develop quick and editable cancer screening summary page:** Develop a dashboard or summary page that quickly displays all cancer screening tests, the patient’s current status, screening interval, and results of their last test. Ideally, providers should be able to easily edit the dashboard (such as, editing past procedures, test results, screening intervals based on family history characteristics, etc.) without clicking through to another page (e.g. change screening interval, enter self-reported tests).
   c) **Improve documentation of prior colonoscopies:** Develop a quick, easy way to enter the results of past colonoscopies/surgical history in structured data fields. Ideally, this would be a one or two-click process with a means of differentiating between a verified colonoscopy (e.g. supported by documentation) vs. a self-reported exam.
   d) **Make use of family history data in clinical decision support systems.** Primary care providers are not necessarily aware of the nuances of screening for patients with a family history of CRC, let alone those with family histories of other conditions that
make them genetically more susceptible to CRC. The current and next generation of EHRs should deliver screening alerts based on the details of family history of CRC and other conditions, flagging high risk individuals.

e) **Develop family history data functionality:** Vendors need to develop functionality for family history collection that is quick and efficient for providers and staff, such as standardizing the content, using searchable fields, alerting provider when history is incomplete or needs updating, provide links to screening guidelines as well as alerts or providing specific recommendations based on the family history. This may include development of a patient portal.

f) **Provide better initial training during implementation, particularly for clinical staff.** CHC staff are not using their EHRs to their full potential and also have a high level of dissatisfaction with their level of initial training, including expressing dissatisfaction with the lack of clinical expertise of the trainers. As the EHR market matures, CHCs will look to replace systems that are not meeting their needs, so it is to the vendors benefit to both invest in systems that are more intuitive and less dependent on training, coupled with clinical-savvy trainers at the onset.

g) **Improve quality of periodic refresher training:** Improve quality of periodic optimization training in the EHR support package. "Refresher" training is important after the release of software upgrades. It is also critical that at least one person receives ongoing training because staff turnover in CHCs is said to be higher than in other clinical settings. As a result, some CHCs end up with system administrators who essentially learned the EHR “on the job” through trial and error. Few CHCs have the funds to invest in more training, even if it is clearly needed.

h) **Make claims bidirectional with insurers, Medicaid and Medicare to allow for documentation of previous tests in other systems.**

**Section 2 – Proposed recommendations for NCCRT/NACHC/HCCN/state PCAs**

1. **Communicate to CHC leadership (e.g. Board of Directors, CEO and CMO/Clinical Directors) about the importance and potential payoff of investing valuable staff time on EHR optimization.**

   Providers in CHCs are time-pressed, and it is a challenge to identify staff who have the time to invest in learning more about their EHR’s capabilities, examine workflows, identify reporting errors, use the EHR’s functionality to improve performance, etc. Therefore, CHC staff often utilize their EHR at levels below full functionality, never realizing their system’s possibilities or even misusing their EHR, despite substantial investment in the EHR. CHC leadership may be encouraged to set aside time for EHR optimization by hearing success
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stories from peer organizations that have seen improvements in patient care, greater efficiency, or receipt of pay for performance incentives as a result of taking the time to improve their EHR use. Further, when CHC’s invest in adoption of a new system, they should consider investing in staff time and incentives for EHR optimization as an integral part of the investment. Help providers better see the linkages between broader EHR/QI steps they need to take to remain a viable business and the CRC-specific things this initiative wants/needs them to do in order to achieve the CRC goals.

2. **Encourage CHCs to run more frequent reports on important quality issues (e.g. HEDIS measures, UDS measures) and report data to clinicians/teams.** Reporting both group and individual data has been shown to be a strong means of demonstrating the value of the EHR for patient population management, reinforcing the importance of entering structured data effectively to ensure accurate reporting, and motivating providers to focus on improving screening efforts. Externally shared reports may help with accountability.

3. **Consider ways to increase CHCs organizational focus on colorectal cancer screening through quality/process improvement initiatives, short term grants or promotion of shared campaigns, such as 80% by 2018.** Many CHCs emphasize other health issues (e.g. diabetes, hypertension, cervical cancer) based on patient demographics or funding streams, but CRC is typically not high on the priority list. When CHCs focus their efforts on a particular disease, even for a short period, attention to workflow, entry of structured data and other aspects of EHR use optimize performance and these improvements often endure even after the focus period ends.

4. **Create change through Meaningful Use mechanisms.** Research and identify opportunities to leverage Meaningful Use to spur improvements.

5. **Secure funding for on-site IT assistance for CRC quality improvement.** Funding has been shown to lead to greater institutional focus on screening issues. There is a clearly demonstrated need for funding to support on-site IT assistance in order for CHCs to make better use the significant federal investment that was made in EHR acquisition.

**Section 3 – Proposed recommendations for EHR training and support**

1. **Consider ways to offer in-person assistance to CHC staff,** as clinicians and IT staff have cited this as an effective way to improve knowledge and use of EHRs. This includes raising awareness of features, proper documentation/use of structured data, report generation, and use of population management features. Other options include:
   a) **Shadowing by clinical trainers and super users**
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b) Telephone or webinar EHR “optimization” training sessions  
c) Superusers who provide scheduled telephone consultation  
d) Negotiating additional in-person assistance from vendors with trainers well versed in clinical needs

2. **Encourage CHC staff to become more active in EHR user groups offered by NACHC or their respective vendors.**
   Most respondents do not appear to be taking advantage of user groups, but those who do, report finding that they’re a helpful way of learning how to address common EHR problems. Reward collaboration and sharing

3. **Collect and distribute best practices on EHR use to facilitate cancer screening. Create a guide or toolkit to help with dissemination.** Consider ACS, NACHC or HRSA sponsored learning session tailored for the most prevalent EHR systems. Include model approaches from CHCs with varying staff sizes, budgets and levels of IT sophistication, which would be valuable those who do not think they have enough resources to make significant improvements to the system.

4. **Explore ways to systematize EHR training and optimization, such as providing ongoing technical assistance through Health Center Controlled Networks, NACHC or state Primary Care Associations.**
   Currently, EHR utilization is highly dependent on the skills and motivation of individual staff members at each CHC. Some CHCs with highly motivated staff are able to overcome non-intuitive systems and lack of training to still make the most of their EHR, but others are not. The difference appears to be simply whether or not the CHC happens to have staff members with a strong orientation toward the technology or a focus on quality improvement. One way of overcoming this limitation may be the creation of model workflows that can be shared across networks or distributed through state Primary Care Associations, but other opportunities should also be explored. Some staff may be persuaded if they understand that bad data or mistakes that go uncorrected can have very real implications for patient health. Other staff may be motivated if they understand how poor EHR practices mean they are underreporting their UDS measures. Do case studies to highlight success stories as a way to motivate staff.

5. **Consider developing “SWAT teams” consisting of EHR specialists to provide troubleshooting, optimization training and reporting guidance to CHCs who lack professional IT staff.**
   Teams should specialize in each of the four EHR systems that are most prevalent in CHCs. Ideally, they would include both technical and clinical specialists who can shadow clinical users as well as staff members who are responsible for pulling data out of the EHR.
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6. Develop tailored patient education material on the importance of CRC screening to help address patient barriers that can be automatically generated through the EHR and distributed to patients in a way that aligns with the workflow. It is important that this material be persuasive in nature rather than instructive (e.g. not how to perform an FOBT test or prepare for a colonoscopy, but why it is important) and should be tailored to the screening recommendation made for the patient. Ideally, this material could be incorporated into future EHR systems as an automatic deliverable once a colonoscopy is ordered, but initially providers in CHCs would welcome such material even on paper. Consider enhancing distribution of, or co-branding with content from high-quality materials already developed by the CDC.

Section 4 – Proposed recommendations for optimizing EHR functionality

1. Encourage CHC staff to make use of their EHR’s automated patient reminder systems. Several EHR systems have the capability to deliver automated reminders to patients who are overdue for screening, have unreturned stool tests, or have not completed a colonoscopy referral. However, almost none of the CHCs in this study say they are using these reminders. Taking the time to set up practice protocols for when automated reminders are appropriate and how they should be delivered (e.g. mail, text, email) will pay off in reducing the time that staff need to spend tracking down patients and resolving incomplete tests.

2. Advocate that CHC staff receive EHR refresher training on a periodic basis. Responses suggest that most CHC staff simply make do with the initial training they received and never take time to learn more about what their system is capable of once they have mastered the basics. In reality, providers need to use the EHR for a period of time before they can identify gaps in knowledge or perceived functionality, and then go back for more support or training, perhaps with a list of functions that they need the EHR to fulfill. Unfortunately, this is not the training model in most settings. Even if vendors do not support refresher training, CHC staff should be encouraged to focus on optimization of EHR workflows on at least an annual basis, using whatever resources are available to them (e.g. HCCN, internal IT support, new budget allocations for vendor refresh training).

3. Identify and promote model “add on” features or applications that high performing clinics or HCCNs have developed on their own to augment or improve their EHR’s screening functionality. Many high performing clinics have developed their own screening templates, reminders, and/or reporting tools. So that users with fewer IT resources do not have to “reinvent the wheel,” NCCRT should consider ways to facilitate the exchange of ideas or code between
CHCs that are willing to share this information. Vendors should be encouraged to allow for, and even facilitate, free distribution of these adoptions.

4. Create vendor-specific model workflows that reflect best practices for colorectal cancer screening.

Currently, the majority of CHCs are using one of five EHR systems. Therefore, model workflows for each of the major EHR products would help CHCs make progress toward optimizing their processes. Documentation should particularly emphasize the importance of follow up and tracking in order to enable accurate reporting, as this is where this project revealed a significant lack of attention. Vendors should be encouraged to allow for, and even facilitate, free distribution of these workflows. Be aware that workflows will vary by FIT test used according to how they are processed.

5. Address alert fatigue. Delineate the items that can be done by support staff.

Section 5 – Proposed recommendations for EHR best practices

1. Promote best practices of CHCs who have turned their EHRs into high performing systems.

Best practices could include:

a) **Assure workflow fit**: Pay greater attention to how their EHR fits with their existing workflow, and revisit how the EHR could be modified after installation to better complement and support the workflow. Have vendors shadow providers to demonstrate best practices and ways to maximize the use of the system.

b) **Conduct regular system upgrades**: Keep up with all system upgrades and seek additional online training when updates are installed.

c) **Secure assistance from technical staff**: Dedicate technical staff to the EHR system, so they can program, access servers, or customize the software to meet their needs. This can be done efficiently by sharing staff across health center controlled networks.

d) **Join a Health Center Controlled Network**: Join health center controlled networks where they have access to high quality IT expertise.

e) **Participate in peer to peer learning**: Make use of facilitated opportunities to learn from other users of the same EHR (e.g. NACHC or vendor-supported user groups).

f) **Track performance**: Motivate providers to document their activities in structured data through group and individual performance tracking, peer comparison, and/or performance incentives.

g) **Tie quality measures into the use of EHRs**: Convey to providers exactly how data for quality measures is captured (e.g. what to do to get “credit” for a task), and how it will be used to assess performance.
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h) Train new providers: Work with new providers to go over the basics. Have them shadow another provider to understand the EHR system. Initially, audit their notes to ensure proper use of the system at the onset.

i) Communicate updates: Integrate clinical and technical staff, both at the beginning of implementation and on an ongoing basis to ensure that clinical staff is making correct use of the EHR and know about the latest updates.

j) Host monthly meetings: Host monthly provider meetings to present new forms, go over system updates and answer questions. Make these efforts worth the providers’ time by coaching them on how to make it easier, use less clicks, be more efficient, and be able to deliver better care.

k) Identify provider concerns: Periodically identify provider concerns and barriers to effective EHR use and conduct workflow improvement to address problems.

l) Use the EHR to automate Standing Orders: Make use of standing orders for routine CRC screening, including automation to order stool tests (bulk order) and of referrals for colonoscopy for all patients who have a positive screening FOBT. Have direct interfaces with external labs that allow for resulting and billing of tests. Address issues of tracking and billing for incomplete test (issue for eCW).

m) Automate follow up: Initiate standards for systematic follow up on unreturned stool tests or colonoscopy referrals which are not completed, with particular use of tools that do not require significant staff time to implement (e.g. HIPAA compliant robocalls, automatically generated postcard reminders).

n) Automate screening alerts: Differentiate between alerts for incomplete screenings for average risk patients and high risk patients (e.g. establishment of a “critical” alert for positive stool tests that have not been followed by a colonoscopy).

o) Standardize collection of core Colonoscopy Measures: Establish a core set of colonoscopy measures that should always be entered into structured data (e.g. normal/abnormal, number of polyps, histology of polyps, villous architecture or high grade dysplasia in adenomas, or cancer, and follow up requirements).

p) Launch One-click View of a patient’s screening status: Have a simple “one click” way of viewing and editing the screening status of an individual patient.